

Message

From: g.d.beckett [g.d.beckett@aquiver.com]
Sent: 7/11/2019 5:04:07 PM
To: Grange, Gabrielle Fenix [gabrielle.grange@doh.hawaii.gov]; Whittier, Robert [Robert.Whittier@doh.hawaii.gov]; Tu, Lyndsey [Tu.Lyndsey@epa.gov]; Donald Thomas [dthomas@soest.hawaii.edu]; Linder, Steven [Linder.Steven@epa.gov]; Shalev, Omer [Shalev.Omer@epa.gov]; Matt Tonkin [matt@sspa.com]; Ronald Chinn [ron.chinn@innovex.net]; Myers, Hugh [hugh.myers@doh.hawaii.gov]; Ichinotsubo, Lene K [lene.ichinotsubo@doh.hawaii.gov]
Subject: Updated LNAPL Slides
Attachments: Navy_LNAPL_Model_V2.pdf

Hi folks,

Attached are the updated LNAPL slides for later this morning. Matt suggested I add more explicit discussion of residual LNAPL saturation and stacked residuals (hysteresis).

Best regards

On July 9, 2019 at 12:39 PM "Grange, Gabrielle Fenix" <Gabrielle.Grange@doh.hawaii.gov> wrote:

Please let me know your availability to have a short discussion on our initial comments on the CSM with EPA once Lyndsey gets back to town.

In the meantime, DOH team, please continue your review and comment development, with continuing focus on big picture issues as we have discussed. As I discussed briefly with Steve yesterday, our initial reviews are disappointing, with changes that double down on Navy's assertions of the inherent protectiveness of the system.